

APPLICATION NO.

10/618,044

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

AM100056 D1 4109

7590 05/06/2004

FILING DATE

07/10/2003

Anne M. Rosenblum, Esq. Suite 212 163 Delaware Avenue

Delmar, NY 12054

EXAMINER
SACKEY, EBENEZER O

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Dan M. Berger

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/618,		BERGER ET AL.
	Examin	er	Art Unit
		ZER SACKEY	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (I	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement's (PTO-1449 or PTO)s	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08)	5) Notice of Informal Par 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Trademark Office		,	
TOL 000 (0	ice Action Summa	ry Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 20040426

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of Claims

Claims 1-35 are pending.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Election/Restriction

1. The Markush group set forth in the claims includes both independent and distinct inventions, and patentably distinct compounds (or species) within each invention.

However, this application discloses and claims a plurality of patentably distinct inventions far too numerous to list individually. Moreover, each of these inventions contains a plurality of patentably distinct compounds, also far too numerous to list individually. For these reasons provided below, restriction to one of the following

Art Unit: 1626

Groups is required under 35 U.S.C. 121, wherein a Group is a set of patentably distinct inventions of a broad statutory category (e.g. Compounds and Methods of Use.):

- I. Claims 6-7 and 15-16 are, drawn to compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 26+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.
- II. Claims 8 and 17 are, drawn to compounds, classified in class 544, subclass 338+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.
- III. Claims 9 and 18 are, drawn to compounds, classified class 546, subclass 251+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.
- IV. Claims 10 and 19 are, drawn to compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 268.1+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.
- V. Claim 11 is, drawn to a compound, classified in class 546, subclass 251+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.
- VI. Claim 12 is, drawn to a compound, classified in class 546, subclass 256+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.
- VII. Claims 4 and 13 are, drawn to compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 256+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the

Art Unit: 1626

elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.

VIII. Claims 5 and 14 are drawn to compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 256+ (elect one method of use). Generic claims 1-3 and 34 can be prosecuted with the elected compound to the extend of the elected compound.

- IX. Claims 1-3 and 34 are drawn to none of the above groups (elect a species and one method of use, classified in several heterocyclic classes 544, 546, 548 etc., in various subclasses.
- X. Claim 21, is drawn to intermediate compounds of formula (I), classified in several heterocyclic classes (544, 546, 548, 549 etc.) in various subclasses.
- XI. Claims 22-33 are drawn to various methods of treating neoplasm's such as breast, kidney, bladder etc., classified in class 514.
- XII. Claim 35, is drawn to a process for preparing compounds of formula (I), classified in classes 546, 544 etc.

Species of claims 20 and 21 will be examined along with the elected group if commensurate in scope therewith.

In accordance with the decisions in *In re Harnisch*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); and Ex *parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984), restriction of a Markush group is proper where the compounds within the group either (1) do not share a common utility, or (2) do not share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. In addition, a Markush group may encompass a plurality of independent and distinct inventions where two or more members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim.

with respect to one of the members would not render the other member(s) obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103.

4. Where an election of Groups I-IX is made, an election of a single compound (or set of compounds) is further required including an exact definition of each substitution on the base molecule (Formula I), wherein a single member at each substituent group or moiety is selected. For example, in formula (I), molecule has a substituent group Y, wherein Y is recited to be any one of methylene, ethylene, carbonyl, etc., then applicant must select a single substituent of Y, for example methylene or carbonyl, and each subsequent variable position. In the instant case, upon election of a single compound (or set of compounds), the Office will review the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the independent invention encompassing the elected compound (compounds which are so similar thereto as to be within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice). The scope of an independent invention will encompass all compounds within the scope of the claim which fall into the same class and subclass as the elected compound (or set of compounds), but may also include additional compounds which fall in related subclasses. Examination will then proceed on the elected compound AND the entire scope of the invention encompassing the elected compound.

A clear statement of the examined invention, defined by those class(es) and subclass(es) will be set forth in the first action on the merits. Note that the restriction requirement will not be made final until such time as applicant is informed of the full scope of compounds along with process of using said compound under examination.

This will be set forth by reference to specific class(es) and subclass(es) examined. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the compounds are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the compounds to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C 103(a) of the other.

All compounds falling outside the class (es) and subclass (es) of the selected compound and any other subclass encompassed by the election above will be directed to nonelected subject matter and will be withdrawn from consideration under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.142(b). Applicant may reserve the right to file divisional applications on the remaining subject matter. The provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 apply with regard to double patenting covering divisional applications.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventors must be amended in compliance with 37C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37CFR 1.17(i).

If desired upon election of a single compound, applicants can review the claims and disclosure to determine the scope of the invention and can set forth a group of compounds which are so similar within the same inventive concept and reduction to practice.

Art Unit: 1626

Markush claims must be provided with support in the disclosure for each member of the Markush group. See MPEP 608.01(p). Applicant should exercise caution in making a selection of a single member for each substituent group on the base molecule to be consistent with the written description.

Rationale Establishing Patentable Distinctiveness Within Each Group

Each Invention Set listed above is directed to or involves the use or making of compounds which are recognized in the art as being distinct from one another because of their diverse chemical structure, their different chemical properties, modes of action, different effects and reactive conditions (MPEP 806.04, MPEP 808.01). Additionally, the level of skill in the art is not such that one invention would be obvious over either of the other inventions, i.e. they are patentable over each other. Chemical structures, which are similar, are presumed to function similarly, whereas chemical structures that are not similar are not presumed to function similarly. The presumption even for similar chemical structures though is not irrebuttable, but may be overcome by scientific reasoning or evidence showing that the structure of the prior art would not have been expected to function as the structure of the claimed invention. Note that in accordance with the holdings of Application of Papesch, 50 CCPA 1084, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963) and In re Lalu, 223 USPQ 1257 (Fed. Cir. 1984), chemical structures are patentably distinct where the structures are either not structurally similar, or the prior art fails to suggest a function of a claimed compound would have been expected from a similar structure.

Art Unit: 1626

The above Groups represent general areas wherein the inventions are independent and distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I-X and XI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using the product (MPEP 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product as demonstrated throughout the specification and, for example WO number 9713760 where it is disclosed that a series of fused tricyclic compounds containing pyridines are inhibitors of protein kinases.

Group XI is distinct and independent from Group X because they are directed to different statutory classes of invention and, the practice of one invention would not result in the practice of the other invention, i.e., treating neoplasm is not a process that prepares per se the intermediate compounds of formula (I).

Inventions I-IX and X are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful for preparing other tricyclic protein kinase inhibitors and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious

variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Each of the different methods of use inventions set forth in Group III is unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). Methods of use are unrelated if one of three differences are found between them. These differences are 1) the population being treated, 2) the material being used, and 3) the methodology for treatment. If any one or more of these differences exist and are patentably distinct, then the methods are unrelated. In the instant case, the different methods of use inventions are unrelated because the patient population treated for each method is divergent. For example, a method of treating multiple myeloma presumes that the patients being treated have cancer, while a method of treating hepatitis presumes the patient has hepatitis.

In addition, because of the plethora of classes and subclasses in each of the Groups, a serious burden is imposed on the examiner to perform a complete search of the defined areas. Therefore, because of the reasons given above, the restriction set forth is proper and not to restrict would impose a serious burden in the examination of this application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to John Hogan on 04/26/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (703) 305-6889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Sozyah K M Hore For:

EOS May 3, 2004

Celia Chang Patent Examiner Group 1600

Technology Center 1